LUPINE PUBLISHERS
Open Access

Current Investigations in Agriculture and Current Research

Research Article

Climate change Mitigation and Adaptation through Biotechnology Approaches: A review

Wakjira Tesfahun*

Department of Plant science, Raya University, Ethiopia

Received: May 25, 2018; **Published:** June 11, 2018

***Corresponding author:** Wakjira Tesfahun, College of Agriculture and Natural Resource, Raya University, Ethiopia, Tel: 251926211498 ; Email: wakjiratesfahun@gmail.com

Abstract

Climate change associated factors including temperature increases, changes in rain fall pattern and occurrence of pest and diseases negatively influence agricultural production, productivity and quality. Climate change effects particularly in region suffer persistent soil and water resource scarcity significantly increases production risk. The effects of climate change on agriculture may depend not only on changing climate condition, but also on the ability to adapt through changes in technology and demand for food. Biotechnology positively reduced the effects of climate change by using modern biotechnology. Modern biotechnology through the use of genetically modified stress tolerant and high yielding transgenic crops also stand to significantly counteract the negative effects of climate change. Convectional biotechnology such as bio fertilizer and energy efficient farming are among reasonable options that could solve problems of climate change. Also this paper deals with the modern technology like omics, system biology and other technology has discussed to combat abiotic stress of plant. Finally, the paper highlighted the current challenges and future perspective of biotechnology for climate change adaptation and mitigation.

Keywords: Biotechnology; Climate change; Omics; System biology; Mcyo biotechnology

Introduction

CAccording to IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change), climate change is the mean change or variability of its properties for long period. As per report of IPCC climate change mainly caused by both anthropogenic which include change in land use by human being action and natural forces like accent of solar cycles, volcanic eruption and continental drift [1]. Climate change is one of the chief intimidations to agriculture in the vicinity of futures. Its most apparent effects would be on temperature, precipitation, insect pest and pathogen, weeds soil and water quality. It observed that agricultural activities contribute 25% green houses gas emission and major source of methane (48%) and nitrous oxide (52%) from rice fields [2]. Green house gases are element of both natural and anthropogenic which avert radiation from being to reflect into atmosphere and causing warm environment. These gases mainly emit by industry and other activities like carbon dioxide (CO_2), methane (CH_4), nitrous oxide, hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs) and Sulphur hexaoxide (SF_6). In long run their concentration in the atmosphere increased by different activities and lets the global climate changes Kumar et al. 2015.

Adaptation to climate change can be done by reducing the vulnerability of natural and human systems [1]. Climate change mitigation is another policy retort to climate change which reduces the negative impact of climate change through involvement of human action particularly by reducing the concentration of green house gasses either by decreasing the source and increasing their sink (plants). Climate change can be mitigated by reforestation and other sink to remove concentration of CO_2 from the atmosphere and shifting from biomass to renewable energy [2]. Crop yield and quality is decreased as frequent and intense precipitation events, elevated temperature, drought, and other type of damaging weather, which is making the challenge of feeding fast growing population intricate Hatfield et al. 2011. To feed the ever increasing world's population, there must be a need to boost agricultural production.

Agricultural biotechnology involves the practical application of biological organisms, or their sub-cellular components in agriculture. The techniques currently in use include tissue culture, convectional breeding, and molecular marker assisted breeding and genetic engineering. Biotechnology is a promise way for mitigating the negative effects of climate change through reduction of green house gasses Teasury, 2009 use of bio fuels [3], carbon sequestration [4], less use of fertilizers [5], tolerance of a biotic [6] and biotic stress [7]. Under this context the present paper emphasize the intervention of biotechnology in climate change adaptation and mitigation for sustainable yield production and food security.

Role of Biotechnology for Climate Change Mitigation

Reduction GHGS emission

Agricultural practices such as use of synthetic fertilizer, cultivation rice crops, over grazing and deforestation are contributes 25% of Green houses gasses (carbon dioxide, methane and nitrous oxide) emission to atmosphere. Biotechnology is one of the most reliable answers to mitigate climate change through use energy efficient farming, carbon sequestration and reduced synthetic fertilizer usage [8]. Planting genetically modified crops has shown significant reduction in the amount of greenhouse gases emitted. This is owing to the fact that since genetically modified crops does not need as much maintenance as regular crops; farmers are not wasting as much fuel to power their equipment, resulting in a reduction of greenhouse gases emitted [9]. This reduction of greenhouse gases emitted is not a negligible reduction. The reduction of these greenhouse gas emissions in 2012 was equivalent to "removing 27 billion kg of carbon dioxide from the atmosphere or equal to removing 11.9 million cars from the road for one year" [10]. The simple yet effective implementation of genetically modified crops in farming leads farmers to expend less fuel as a result of not demanding to ride on farm equipment as long, leading to a reduction of the carbon footprint that is left behind.

Use of energy efficient farming

Now a day's green biotechnology (the creation of more fertile and resistant plant resources by using specialized techniques) has been used in eradicating world hunger by using different technologies which enable the production of more fertile and resistant plants towards both biotic and abiotic stress (Kafarski, 2012). This technology allow farmers to use less and environmental friendly energy and fertilizer, and practice soil carbon sequestration. Production of bio fuels, both from traditional and GMO crops such as oilseed, sugarcane, rape seed and jatropha will help to reduce the adverse effects of pollution by the transport sector [8,11]. Efficient farming will therefore help in cleaning the atmosphere through plantation of perennial non edible oil-seed. Thus, directly get involved in production of bio diesel for direct use in energy sector. Then it blends along with fossil fuels, which helps to reduce the emission of carbon dioxide [12,13].

Carbon sequestration

Carbon sequestration is the uptake of carbon containing substances particularly carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. It helps to collect CO_2 from the atmosphere and increase the soil organic carbon content with implication of that increased soil carbon storage mitigates climate change [14]. From this point of view carbon sequestration is one the best way to mitigate climate change impact by sequestering the ever increasing concentration of CO_2 from the atmosphere. One way of increasing carbon sequestering is by conservation tillage, any tillage and planting system that covers more than 30% of the soil surface with crop residue after planting to reduce erosion by water there by enhances methane consumption and sequesters soil carbon [15].

Genetically modified crops are led to sequestration million tons of carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. One of the best examples is Roundup Ready TM which is herbicide resistant of soybean was found to sequester 63,859 million tones of CO_2 in USA and Argentina [8,16]. The improvement of crops opens door for the farmers to use no till farming practice. In context of climate change mitigation (Table 1), these techniques improve soil quality and anchor carbon in the soil [17]. FAO have quantified the contribution of conservation tillage to carbon sequestration. Soil carbon sequestration for the first decade of adoption of best conservation agricultural practice was seen to decreased 1.8 tons CO_2 per hectare per year, with better cycling of nutrients and avoiding nutrient losses among the key benefits to farmer FAO [18].

Table 1: Summary of carbon sequestration impact 1996-2008.

Crop \trait\country	Permanent fuel saving (million liters)	Potential additional CO_2 saving from fuel saving	Potential additional CO2 saving from soil saving carbon sequestration
Us: GM HT soybeans	835	2295	38057
Argentina :GM HT soybeans	1636	4499	43775
Others countries: GM HT soybeans	196	539	7939
Canada : GM HT canola	347	955	11842
Global GM IR cotton	125	344	0
Total	3139	8632	101613

Source: Europa bio, 2009.

Reduced use of synthetic fertilizer

Uses of synthetic fertilizer in agriculture sector have led to contaminate the environment with hazardous toxic chemicals. These synthetic fertilizers contribute for the formation as well as releases of certain green houses gasses (N_2O) by bringing from the soil to surrounding atmosphere when they interact with common soil bacteria. Ammonium chloride, Ammonium sulphate, sodium nitrate, calcium nitrate are the examples of inorganic fertilizers that are responsible for the formation and releases of green house gasses [17]. Biotechnological option bids an advantage to reduce the use of synthetic fertilizer. Nitrogen fixing characteristics of *Rhizobium* inoculants were improved by using genetic engineering [19]. A bright prospect of non leguminous plants (rice and wheat) being enable to fix nitrogen in the soil as reported by Yan [5] and Saikia [20]. Another strategy is planting crops in the use of nitrogen more efficiently. An example of such crops is genetically modified Canola which has shown significant reduction in the amount of nitrogen fertilizer that lost into atmosphere and leached into soil and water ways, and maximizing the economies of farmers through the improved profitability [8].

Biotechnology for Crop Adaptation to Environmental Stress

The ultimate climate change effects on agriculture are reduction crop yield due to rainfall, extreme temperature, emergence of weeds, occurrence pest and disease Johnsona et al. 2007 (Table 2). One of the possible ways of adapting to such global problem is apply agricultural biotechnologies that combat the negative effects of such changes is by using genetic engineering offer new opportunities for improving stress resistance [21].

Table 2: Modern agricultural biotechnologies for climate change adaptation and mitigation.

Measures to climate change	Biotechnology	Application	Reference
Less fuel consumption	Engineering herbicide resistance to reduce spraying	GM soy beans GM canola	Fawcett and Towery [42]; Brimner et al. [16]; Kleter et al. [4]
	Engineering insect resistance to reduce spraying	Bt maize, cotton, and eggplants	May et al. [47]; Bonny [38].
Reduced fertilizer uses	Engineering nitrogen fixation	Genetic improvement of Rhizobium; inducing N-fixation to non-legumes	Zahran [19]; Kennedy [46]; Saikia and Jain [20]; Yan et al. [5]
Carbon sequestration	No-till farming due to Biotechnological advances Green energy Nitrogen- efficient GM crops	Herbicide resistant GM soy beans, canola GM energy crop N-efficient GM canola	Fawcett and Towery [42]; Kleter et al. [4] Lybbert and Summer [3] Johnsona et al., 2007
Adaptation to climate change.	Molecular marker assisted breeding for stress resistance	Drought resistant maize, wheat hybrids	Wang et al. [54], [55]
Adaptation to biotic and aboitic stress	Engineering drought salt and heat tolerance.	GM tomato, rice	Hong et al. [22]; Jaglo et al. [44]
Improved productivity per unit area of land	Increased crop yield per unit area of land	Fungal, bacterial and viral resistant GM cassava, potatoes, bananas, maize, canola	Mneney [48]; Van Camp [52]; Gomez-Barbero et al. [43]

Source: Mtui et al. [2].

Adaptation to abiotic stresses

Climate change causes a lot of challenges in agricultural land water uses. Of these challenges, abiotic stress including like salinity, drought, extreme temperatures, and chemical toxicity have negative impact on agriculture production. Climate change creates a gigantic challenge in terms of available agricultural land and fresh water use. The agricultural sector uses about 70% of the available fresh water and this is likely to increase as temperature rises [17]. Furthermore, about 25 million acres of land is vanished each year owed to salinity caused by unsound irrigation technique [18]. It is also estimated that increased salinity in arable land will lead to 30% land uncultivated within 25 years and this number will reach up to 50% by the year 2050 as reported by Valliyodan (2006). Molecular control mechanisms for abiotic stress tolerance are based on activation and regulation of specific stress-related genes. It has been reported by Zhu 2001, that salt tolerant plants also often tolerate other stresses including chilling, freezing heat and drought. Already, a number of abiotic stress tolerant, high performance GM crop plants have been developed. These include tobacco [22]; *Arabinopsis thaliana* and *Brasicca napus* [23]; Tomato (Hsieh *et al.*, 2002); rice (Yamanouchi *et al.*, 2002); maize, cotton, wheat and oilseed rape (Yamaguchi and Blumwals, 2005; Brookes and Barfoot, 2006).

These transgenic plants maintained higher photosynthetic capacity and elevated levels of photosynthesis-related enzymes. Recently, a gene encoding aquaporin (*NtAQP1*) was identified in tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*) and shown to provide protection against salinity stress in transgenic tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) [24]. *NtAQP1* plays a key role in preventing root or shoot hydraulic failure, enhancing water use efficiency and thereby improving salt tolerance. Recently, a large body of study shows that plant Polyamines (PAs) are involved in the achievement of tolerance to such stresses as high and low temperatures, salinity, hyper osmosis, hypoxia and atmospheric pollutants [25,26]. I hereby summarized in Table 3 few transgenic plants engineered to make Polyamines for boosted abiotic stress tolerance. Plants may also be engineered to reduce the levels of poly (ADP ribose) polymerise, a key stress related enzyme, resulting in plants that are able to survive drought compared to their non-GM counterparts. Field trial results have shown a 44% increase in yield in favour of such GM crop plants [17]. With the availability of whole genome sequences of plants, physical maps, genetics and functional genomics tools, integrated approaches using molecular breeding and genetic engineering offer new opportunities for improving stress resistance [21].

Table 3: Transgenic plants engineered to synthesize Polyamines for enhanced abiotic stress tolerance.

Gene	Function and gene product	Source	Transgenic plant	Enhanced tolerance	References
ADC (Arginine decarboxylase)	ADC is responsible for the biosynthesis of diamine Put from arginine	Avena sativa	*Oryza sativa L.*	Salt tolerance	Roy [49]
		Datura stramonium	*Oryza sativa L.*	Drought tolerance	Capell et al. [40]
SAMDC (S-adenosyl methionine decarboxylase)	SAMDC is a key enzyme involved in the biosynthesis of the Pas	Human	*Nicotiana tabacum var. xanthi*	Salinity, drought and fungal wilts (caused by Verticillium dahliae and Fusarium oxysporum) stress tolerance	Waie [53]
		Saccharomyces cerevisiae	*Lycopersicon Esculentum*	High temperature stress	Cheng et al. [41]
MdSPDS1 (Spermidine Synthase)	SPDS converts Put into spermidine	Malus sylvestris	*Pyrus communis L.'Ballad'*	Salt, osmotic and heavy metal stress Tolerance	Wen et al. [56]
		Cucurbita ficifolia	*Arabidopsis thaliana L.*	Chilling, freezing, salinity, hyper osmosis,drought and paraquat stress tolerance	Kasukabe et al. [45]

Source: Sarvajeet and Narendra [51].

Recent technology developments allow studies of such stress responses at a global molecular scale using omics data (metabolome, proteome and transcriptome). The following studies are discussed to highlight good examples of System biology and omics approaches that have been used to identify key genes regulating stress tolerance and then followed with proof of those responses and phenotypes in multiple experiments including field conditions. One of the example is a SNAC1 (NAC transcription factor that induces the expression of a stress-tolerance genes and improves the drought and salt tolerance of rice in the field) gene which was identified from microarray experiments of stress treatments on rice [24]. The transgenic plants exhibited increased sensitivity to ABA and reduced water loss. An exhaustive screen of greater than 1500 transcription factors in Arabidopsis identified nearly 40 transcription factors that when over expressed, improved stress tolerance [27]. One of these transcription factors NF-YB1 was further characterized and shown to display significant drought tolerance in Arabidopsis. Microarray data of this over expressing line showed few differences in gene expression and the genes identified were not known previously to be involved in drought tolerance.

This functional genomics approach provided a new strategy for improving drought tolerance in plants. A homolog of NF-YB1 was cloned in maize (ZmNF-YB2), over expressed and tested for drought tolerance in the greenhouse and field plots. The transgenic maize lines were more droughts tolerant having increased chlorophyll content, photosynthesis, stomatal conductance and grain yields. One line consistently had more than 50% yield improvement in drought conditions over two different years. Oh et al. [28] used microarrays to identify 42 AP2 transcription factors whose expressions were affected by stress. The two transcription factors are meticulously linked but have distinct differences in affecting rice phenotype. AP37 responded to drought, salinity, cold and ABA; over-expression improved stress tolerance to all three environmental conditions. AP59 responded improved stress tolerance to drought and salinity only. Both over expressing lines showed improved photosynthetic efficiency under stress conditions.

Mycobiotechnology

Climate change is major challenge that is already affecting people and the environment by changing average global temperature mitigates the negative effects of extreme temperature and precipitation thereby reducing the vulnerability of farmers and ecology by improving the agro ecological resistance [29-38]. Mycobiotechnology is fungal application of biotechnology which is used mainly for solving environmental problems and restore degraded ecosystem. These technique endeavor to use fungi for restoration harmed ecology. Saikia [20] reported that both endo and ectomycorrhizal symbiotic fungi together with actinomycetes have been used as inoculants for regeneration of degraded forests. Myco biotechnology, are part of a larger trend toward using living systems to solve environmental problems and restore degraded ecosystems. Now a day the sciences of myco forestry and myco restoration are part of an emerging field of research and application for regeneration of degraded forest ecosystems [39]. Myco restoration attempts to use fungi to help in restoration of ecologically injured environments. Whether the environments have been damaged from anthropogenic or natural disasters, saprophytic and mycorrhizal fungi can help to navigate the course to recovery.

A number of non-legume woody plants such as casuarinas (*Casuartna sp.*) and alders (*Alnus sp.*) can fix nitrogen symbiotically with actinomycete bacteria (*Frankia sp.*), a phenomenon that is beneficial to forestry and agro forestry [40-42]. Both endo and ectomycorrhizal symbiotic fungi together with actinomycetes have been used as inoculants in regeneration of degraded forests [20]. Consequently, both mycorrhizal fungi and actinorhizal bacteria technologies can be applied with the aim of increasing soil fertility and improving water uptake by plants [18]. A forestation would indirectly contribute to improved agricultural productivity and food security because forests create microclimates that improve rainfall availability. Moreover, forests act as carbon sinks thereby contributing in sequestration and greenhouse reduction effects for climate change mitigation. Consequently, forestry and agro forestry offer the potential to develop synergies between efforts to mitigate climate change and efforts to help vulnerable populations to adapt to negative consequences of climate change [43].

Challenges and Futures Line of Work

Climate change has far reaching implications for food security, health and safety, and approaches are required for adapting to new climates. Impacts of climate change are becoming evident and there is no indication that these will reverse in the foreseeable future; action must be taken now to adapt in a timely manner and prevent unpredictable and undesirable outcomes. The world population, currently at 7 billion, is predicted to increase to 8 billion by 2025 and peak at about 9 billion in 2050 [44-47]. According to Ruane [18] developing countries will need to cultivate 120 million additional hectares by crops for feeding ever increasing populations. Therefore, modern agricultural science should implement to boost crop production. Efforts should be made to incorporate local and conventional biotechnologies with modern biotechnology approaches within national policies and legal frameworks in order to increase resilience of local crop varieties against changes in environmental dynamics Stinger et al. 2009.

Though promising result was obtained from modern biotechnology, abundant applications of biotechnology have not encountered their full potential. Of many challenges the major challenges was presented below.

a) Doubt about the cause of climate variation (Natural or Human made) [48-52].

b) Biotic and abiotic stress threatens for food production to feed ever increasing population [21].

c) Raises questions about public safety issues with related to environment and health including: creation of more rigorous pests and pathogens, exacerbating the effects of existing pests, harm to non-target species, disruption of biotic communities and loss of species and genetic diversity within species [34].

d) Raises ethical and socio - cultural issues like loss of traditional crops and fear of the unknown future [35].

e) The role of Polyamines for the abiotic stress tolerance is just commencement to be understood. A lot of effort is still required to uncover in detail the molecular mechanism of protective role of Spd, Spm and Put in abiotic stress tolerance.

In order to solve the challenges presently faced in development and application of modern biotechnology, governments ought to put in place appropriate bio safety and biotechnology policies and legal frameworks before adopting such technologies [53-57]. Anxieties on negative effects of GMOs have to Science based and should be studied case by case in specifying in details with true evidence. Both conventional and modern biotechnology involvements are needed to elucidate the problem. Polarized thought should be based on science not from self or political interest.

Conclusion

To sum up access to information and expertise in developing countries, where the need to counteract climate change and increase food production is most urgent and will be a key factor in the use of biotechnology for continued production. Plant biotechnology can contribute positively towards climate change adaptation and mitigation through reduction of green houses gas emissions, carbon sequestration, less fuel use and energy efficient farming and reduced artificial use. This measures help to improve agricultural productivity and protecting the ecosystem from extreme weather event. Sound application of modern biotechnology will help to counteract climate related problems and thereby securing crop production for fast growing population. An approach to safe applications of modern agricultural biotechnologies will contribute to increased yield, food security and also it will also significantly contribute to climate change adaptation and mitigation initiatives.

References

1. IPCC (2014) Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (Eds. J Houghton,). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

2. Sallema RE, Mtui GYS (2008) Adaptation technologies and legal instruments to address climate change impacts to coastal and marine resources in Tanzania. Afr J Environ Sci Technol 2(9): 239-248.
3. Lybbert T, Sumner D (2010) Agricultural technologies for climate change mitigation and adaptation in developing countries: Policy options for innovation and technology diffusion. ICTSD-IPC Plat form on Climate Change.
4. Kleter GA, Harris C, Stephenson G, Unsworth J (2008) Comparison of herbicide regimes and the associated potential environmental effects of glyphosate-resistant crops versus what they replace in Europe. Pest Manage Sci 64(4): 479-488.
5. Yan Y, Yang J, Dou Y, Chen M, Ping S, et al. (2008) Nitrogen fixation island and rhizophere competence traits in the genome of root associated Pseudomonas stutzeri A1501. Proc Nat Acad Sci 105(21): 7564-7569.
6. Hsieh TH, Lee JT, Yang PT, Chiu, LH, Charng YY, et al. (2002) Heterogy expression of Arabidopsis C-repeat/dehydration response element binding factor I gene confers elevated tolerance to chilling and oxidative stresses in transgenic tomato. Plant Physiol 129: 1086-1094.
7. Barrows G, Sexton S, Zilberman D (2014) Agricultural Biotechnology: The Promise and Prospects of Genetically Modified Crops. J Economic Perspectives 28(1): 99-120.
8. Treasury HM (2009) Green biotechnology and climate change. Euro Bio p.12.
9. Fares S (2014) Prairie farmer Penton. Study: Biotech Crops Return Benefits to Farmers, Economy.
10. Batra K (2014) Biotechnology industry organization (Internet). Washington, USA.
11. Sarin R, Sharma M, Sinharay S, Malhotra RK (2007) Jatropha-palm biodiesel blends: An optimum mix for Asia. Fuel 86(10-11): 1365-1371.
12. Lua H, Liua Y, Zhoua H, Yanga Y, Chena M, et al. (2009) Production of biodiesel from Jatropha curcas L. Oil. Comp Chem Eng 33(5): 1091-1096.
13. Jain S, Sharma MP (2014) Prospects of biodiesel from Jatropha in India: A review. Renewable and sustainable Energy Rev 14(2): 763-771.
14. Powlson DS, Whitmore AP, Goulding KWT (2011) Soil carbon sequestration to mitigate climate change: A critical re-examination to identify the true and false. Eur J Soil Sci 62(1): 42-55.
15. West TO, Post WM (2002) Soil organic carbon sequestration rates by tillage and crop rotation: A global analysis. Soil Sci Soc Amer J 66(6): 930-1046.
16. Brimner TA, Gallivan GJ, Stephenson (2004) Influence of herbicide-resistant canola on the environmental impact of weed management. Pest Manag Sci 61(1): 47-52.
17. Brookes G, Barfoot P (2009) Global impact of biotech crops: Income and production effects, 1996-2007. J AgBio Forum 12(2): 184-208.
18. Ruane J, Sonnino F, Steduro R, Deane C (2008) Coping with water scarcity in developing countries: What role for agricultural biotechnologies? Land and water Discussion. Food and Agricultural organization (FAO) pp. 33.
19. Zahran HH (2001) Rhizobia from wild legumes: Diversity, taxonomy, ecology, nitrogen fixation and biotechnology. J Biotechnol 91(2-3): 143-153.
20. Saikia SP, Jain V (2007) Biological nitrogen fixation with non-legumes: An achievable target or a dogma? Curr Sci 93(3): 317-322.
21. Manavalan LP, Guttikonda SC, Tran LP, Nguyen HT (2009) Physiological and molecular approached to improve drought resistance in soybean. Plant cell Physiol 50(7): 1260-1276.
22. Hong Z, Lakkineni K, Zhang K, Verma DPS (2000) Removal of feedback inhibition of delta-pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthase results in increased proline accumulation and protection of plants from osmotic stress. Plant Physiol 122: 1129-1136.
23. Lakshmi K, Anuradha C, Boomiraj K, Kalaivani A (2015) Applications of Biotechnological Tools to Overcome Climate Change and its Effects on Agriculture. Research News for U (RNFU) 20: 2250-3668.
24. Hu H, Dai M, Yao J, Xiao B, Li X, et al. (2006) Over expressing a NAM, ATAF, and CUC (NAC) transcription factor enhances drought resistance and salt tolerance in rice. Proc Natl Acad Sci 103(35): 12987-12992.
25. Liu JH, Kitashiba H, Wang J, Ban Y, Moriguchi T (2007) Polyamines and their ability to provide environmental stress tolerance to plants. Plant Biotechnol 24(1): 117-126.
26. Garcıa Jimenez P, Just PM, Delgado AM, Robaina RR (2007) Transglutaminase activity decrease during acclimation to hyposaline conditions in marine seaweed Grateloupia doryphora (Rhodophyta, Halymeniaceae). J Plant Physiol 164(3): 367-370.
27. Nelson DE, Repetti PP, Adams TR, Creelman RA, Wu J, et al. (2007) Plant nuclear factor Y (NF-Y) B subunits confer drought tolerance and lead to improved corn yields on water-limited acres. Proc Natl Acad Sci 104(42): 16450-16455.
28. Oh SJ, Kim YS, Kwon CW, Park HK, Jeong JS, et al. (2009) Overexpression of the transcription factor AP37 in rice improves grain yield under drought conditions. Plant Physio 150(3): 1368-1379.
29. Lin BB, Perfecto I, Vandermeer S (2008) Synergies between agricultural intensification and climate change could create surprising vulnerabilities from crops. Bio Sci 58(9): 847-854.
30. Franche C, Laplaze L, Duhoux E, Bogusz D (1998) Actinomycorhizal symbioses: Recent advances in plant molecular and genetic transformation studies. Crit Rev Plant Sci 17(1): 1-28.
31. Verchot LV, Noordwijk MV, Kandj S, Tomich T, Ong C, et al. (2007) Climate change: Linking adaptation and mitigation through agroforestry. Mit Adap Strat Glob Change 12(5): 901-918.
32. O'Neill BC, M Dalton, R Fuchs, L Jiang, S Pachauri, et al. (2010) Global demographic trends and future carbon emissions. Proc Natl Acad Sci 107(41):17521-17526.
33. Anderegg WRL, Prall JW, Harold J, Schneider SH (2010) Expert credibility in climate change. Proc Natl Acad Sci 107(27): 12107-12109.
34. Snow AA, Andow DA, Gepts P, Hallerman EM, Power A, et al. (2005) Genetically engineered organisms and the environment: Current status and recommendations. Ecol Appl 15(2): 377-404.
35. Qaim M (2009) The economics of genetically modified crops. Annual Rev Resour Econ 1: 665-693.
36. Stringer LC, Dyer JC, Reed MS, Dougill AJ, Twyman C, et al. (2009) Adaptation to climate change, drought and desertification: Local insights to enhance policy in Southern Africa. Environ Sci Policy 12(7): 748-765.
37. Bakshi A (2003) Potential adverse health effects of genetically modified crops. J Toxicol Environ Health 6(3): 211-226.
38. Bonny S (2008) Genetically modified gyphosate-tolerant soybean in USA: Adoption factors, impacts and prospects A review. Agro Sustain Dev 28(1): 21-32.
39. Cheung PCK, Chang ST (2009) Overview of mushroom cultivation and utilization as functional foods. John Willey and Sons Inc.
40. Capell T, Bassie L, Christou P (2004) Modulation of the polyamine biosynthetic pathway in transgenic rice confers tolerance to drought stress. Proc Natl Acad Sci 101(26): 9909-9914.

41. Cheng L, Zou Y, Ding S, Zhang J, Yu X, et al. (2009) Polyamine Accumulation in Transgenic Tomato Enhances the Tolerance to High Temperature Stress. J Integrative Plant Biol 51(5): 489-499.

42. Fawcett R, Towery D (2003) Conservation tillage and plant biotechnology: How new technologies can improve the environment by reducing the need to plow: CT Information Center, USA.

43. Gomez Barbero G, Berbel J, Rodriguez Cerezo E (2008) BT corn in Spain - the performance of the EU"s first GM crop. Nature Biotechnol 26(4): 384-386.

44. Jaglo KR, Kleff S, Amunsen KL, Zhang X, Haake V, et al. (2001) Components of Arabidopsis Crepeat/dehydration responsive element binding factor or cold-response pathway are conserved in Brasicca napus and other plant species. Plant Physiol 127(3): 910-917.

45. Kasukabe Y, He L, Nada K, Misawa S, hara, et al. (2004) Overexpression of spermidine synthase enhances tolerance to multiple environmental stress and up regulates the expression of various stress regulated genes in transgenic Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Cell Physiol 45(6): 712-722.

46. Kennedy IR, Tchan YT (1992) Biological nitrogen fixation in non-leguminous field crops: Recent advances. Plant and Soil 141: 93-118.

47. May MJ, Gillian Champion GT, Dewar AM, Qi A, Pidgeon JD (2005) Management of genetically modified herbicide-tolerant sugar beet for spring and autumn environmental benefit. Proc Biol Sci 272(1559): 111-119.

48. Mneney EE, Mantel SH, Mark B (2001) Use of random amplified polymorphic DNA markers to reveal genetic diversity within and between populations of cashew (*Anacardium occidentale L*). J Hort Sci Biotechnol 77(4): 375-383.

49. Roy M, Wu R (2002) Overexpression of S-adenosyl methionine dearboxylase gene in rice increases polyamine level and enhances sodium chloride-stress tolerance. Plant Sci 163(5): 987-992.

50. Sandeep Kumar, Rohini Bansode, Mahesh Kumar Malav, Lalchand Malav (2016) Role of Agricultural Biotechnology in climate change mitigation. International Journal of Applied and Pure Science and Agriculture.

51. Sarvajeet Singh Gill, Narendra Tuteja (2010) Polyamines and abiotic stress tolerance in plants. Plant Signaling and Behavior 5(1): 26-33.

52. Van Camp W (2005) Yield enhancing genes: seeds for growth. Curr Opin Biotechnol 16(2): 147-153.

53. Waie, Rajam MV (2003) Effect of increased polyamine biosynthesis on stress responses in transgenic tobacco by introduction of human S-adenosylmethionine gene. Plant Sci 164(5): 727-34.

54. Wang W, Vinocur B, Altman A (2003) Plant responses to drought, salinity and extreme temperatures: Towards genetic engineering or stress tolerance. Planta 218(1): 1-14.

55. Wang W, Vinocur B, Shoseyov O, Altman A (2001) Biotechnology of plant osmotic stress tolerance: Physiological and molecular considerations. Acta Hort 560: 285-292.

56. Wen XP, Pang XM, Matsuda N, Kita M, Inoue H, et al. (2008) Overexpression of the apple spermidine synthase gene in pear confers multiple abiotic stress tolerance by altering polyamine titers. Transgenic Res 2008 FAO. Climate Change Adaptation and Mitigation: Challenges and Opportunities Security. In: The Challenges of Climate Change and Bioenergy, Proceedings of High Conference on World Food Security. Food and Agriculture Organization Rome, Italy.

57. Yamaguchi T, Blumwals E (2005) Developing salt tolerant crop plants: Challenges and opportunities. Trends in Plant Sci 10(12): 615-620.